

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,750	11.	/03/2003	Sean M. Garner	SP03-152	4248		
22928	7590	10/19/2006		EXAMINER			
CORNING SP-TI-3-1	INCORPO	ORATED	MARTIN, ANGELA J				
CORNING, NY 14831				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•				1745			
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			10/699,750		GARNER ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Angela J. Martir		1745					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	ation app	ears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status										
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> .									
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.									
3)□	<u> </u>									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims									
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or e	lection requiren	nent.						
	ion Papers		•							
	The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminer								
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a			vicated to by the E	Yaminar					
. •,-	Applicant may not request that any objection			•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th					CD 4 404(4)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b									
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	/y 110 E/C	ATTICLE LANGE	; attached Chico,	ACTION OF TOTAL T	O-102.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
aл	a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment	• •									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	2.040)	4) 📙	Interview Summary (F		751				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))-948)	5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/699,750

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to an electrolyte sheet, classified in class 429, subclass
 30.
 - II. Claims 6-7, drawn to a solid oxide electrode/electrolyte assembly, classified in class 429, subclass 40.
 - III. Claims 8-14, drawn to device for separating green sheet from green sheet carrier, classified in class 493, subclass 337.
 - IV. Claims 15-18, drawn to method for separating a green electrolyte sheet from its carrier, classified in class 493, subclass 339.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the assembly does not require the particulars of the electrolyte sheet. The subcombination has separate utility such as an electrolyte in an electrolytic cell.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,750

Art Unit: 1745

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are

Page 3

the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or

includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting

rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Inventions III and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the electrolyte can be made by another apparatus.

4. Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another process, such as the method in claim 16.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I (claim 15) and Species II (claims 16-18). The species are independent or distinct because they require different steps in their method.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/699,750

Art Unit: 1745

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AIM